(C)

Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2011



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

# KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

# PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LVI വാല്യം 56

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

24th May 2011 2011 മേത് 24 3rd Jyaishta 1933 1933 ജ്യേഷ്ഠം 3

# PART I

# Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

### **Labour and Rehabilitation Department** Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

**ORDERS** 

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 475/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd March 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Pigments India Limited, Thazhekkad-680 697 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Shri M. K. Thankappan, President, Pigments India Employees Association (TUCI), Thazhekkad P.O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the alleged denial of employment to 20 workers viz. (1) Sri Joy, C.O., (2) Sri V.P. Liju, (3) Sri Unnikrishnan, V. U., (4) Sri K.C. Shaji, (5) Sri K.K. Balan, (6) Sri Govindan, P.V., (7) Sri P. C. Subramanian, (8) Sri V. J. Sony, (9) Sri C.P.Appu, (10) Sri V.A. Suresh, (11) Sri K.P. Velayudhan, (12) Sri K.D. Joy, (13) Sri P.R. Rajan, (14) Sri P.V. Paul, (15) Sri P. S. Sabu, (16) Sri V.K. Linson, (17) Sri N. R. Rajendran, (18) Sri C.A. Devassy, (19) Sri A. L. Jaison and (20) Sri K. P. Manikandan of Pigments India Limited, Thrissur is justifiable? If not what relief the workers are entitled to get?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 476/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd March 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Steel Complex Limited, P. B. No. 42, Cheruvannoor, Feroke, Kozhikode-673 631 (2) The Deputy General Manager (Personal), Steel Complex Limited, P. B. No. 42,

Cheruvannoor, Feroke, Kozhikode-673 631 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Steel Employees Union (INTUC), Steel Complex Limited, Kolathara P.O., Kozhikode-673 631 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### Annexure

Whether the denial of Promotion to Sri V. Jayaprakash, Fitter, Steel Complex Limited, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not what relief the workman is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 516/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th March 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Rajan Cashew Factory, Maranadu, Ezhukone P.O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Binukumar, Puthumangalath Veedu, Pavithreswaram P.O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### Annexure

Whether the denial of employment of Sri Binukumar, Masri, Peeling Section by the management of Rajan Cashew Factory, Maranadu, Kollam is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 517/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th March 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an

industrial dispute exists between the President, Pottankadu Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. K-165, Pottankadu P. O., Idukki District-685 565 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Kerala Co-operative Employees Front, Idukki District Committee, Alakode Service Co-operative Bank Limited, Alakode P. O., Thodupuzha, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of Promotion to Sri Mathew Simon, Part Time Sweeper of the Pottankadu Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. K-165, Pottankadu P. O., Idukki District is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

> By order of the Governor, RACHEL VARGHESE, Under Secretary to Government.